2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Committee for Polar Programs

Report Run Date: 06/06/2019 12:09:36 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

National Science Foundation 2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Advisory Committee for Polar Programs 1130

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 03/14/2019 03/14/2021

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

ADM IV-100 10/01/1977 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 2 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2 Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End
To provide advice and recommendations on policies, programs, activities, and long-range planning. 10/19/2017 - 10/20/2017
To provide advice and recommendations on policies, programs, activities, and long-range planning. 04/18/2018 - 04/19/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$30,080.00	\$35,000.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$42,926.98	\$45,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$14,384.23	\$20,000.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$41,675.25	\$45,000.00
18d. Total	\$129,066.469	\$145,000.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Two meetings were held in FY-18. Discussion focused on (1) NSF's policy concerning Harassment; (2) OPP's draft science and long-range planning priorities; (3) the Antarctic Infrastructure Modernization for Science (AIMS) communications plan and; (4) Polar Research Vessel & Icebreakers.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Members of the Office Advisory Committee are selected to be representative of all scientific fields in the polar sciences, and they are drawn from the full range of institutions with which polar scientists are associated, including academic institutions of varying sizes, research institutes, other federal agencies, and a local (Native American) commission. The committee also includes women and members of minority groups underrepresented in science and engineering. All members serve three-year terms, with about one-third of the committee being replaced each year. Members of the Committee of Visitors includes individuals with expertise in all areas of sciences involved, selected for broad geographical distribution within an appropriate mix of colleges and universities. Represented are women and minorities, plus industrial scientists.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Two full Committee meetings are generally held each year. In addition, one or more Committee of Visitors (COV) meetings are held every four years.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The polar regions and polar research are specialized and unique areas. This advice and information must be provided by knowledgeable people who are familiar with polar operations, issues, and research. While OPP does use a number of individual outside advisors and sits on other interagency committees pertaining to the Arctic/Antarctic, this group is the only means for assuring that NSF's best interests are considered.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? N/A

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Kelly K. Falkner Head, Office of Polar Programs

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Arnaudo, Ray	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	Department of State Retired	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brachfeld, Stefanie	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	Montclair State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cassano, John	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	University of Colorado at Boulder	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
DeGrandpre, Michael	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	University of Montana	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dixon, E. James	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	University of New Mexico	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Flanner, Mark	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	University of Michigan	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fleener, Craig	10/01/2017	09/30/2019	Alaska State Government	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fuentes, Jose	10/01/2017	09/30/2019	Pennsylvania State Univ University Park	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Heimbach, Patrick	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	University of Texas Austin	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kosseff, Alex	10/01/2017	09/30/2019	American Mountain Guides Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lyons, W	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	Ohio State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Manahan, Donal	10/01/2017	07/01/2018	University of Southern California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Mellish, Jo-Ann	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	National Pacific Research Board	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Mossey, Christopher	10/01/2017	09/30/2019	Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Neumann, Thomas	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Stearns, Leigh	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	University of Kansas	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Vieregg, Abigail	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	University of Chicago	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Weingartner, Thomas	10/01/2017	09/30/2020	University of Alaska Institute of Marine	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Welker, Jeffrey	10/01/2017	09/30/2018	University of Alaska Anchorage Campus	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 19

Narrative Description

NSF accomplishes its mission through monetary awards (grants) for research and education and science and engineering. The Advisory Committee for the Office of Polar Programs (OPP) provides guidance, recommendations, and oversight in such areas as how OPP can best serve science, promote education, increase workforce diversity, and set investment priorities.

What are the most significant program outcomes associ	ated with this committee?
	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	✓
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	✓
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
N/A	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee	ee?
_	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
N/A	
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations p	roduced by this committee
for the life of the committee?	
0	
Number of Recommendations Comments	

Maniber of Recommendations comments

No new recommendations were made for FY 2018.

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or

will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 0%	
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	e been or
% of Recommendations $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ Implemented Comments N/A	
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable	taken to
Agency Feedback Comments Feedback is to the entire community through public documents (e.g. Budget Forgram Announcements, Dear Colleague Letter, etc.)	₹equests,
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's a	advice or
recommendation? Check	ked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments Other	
Action Comments N/A	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?	
Grant Review Comments	

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation? Checked if Applies Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site Publications Other

Access Comments

N/A